

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				- 1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/947,668	10/09/1997	TRACEY C. SLEMKER	534128-002-C	6180
8698	7590 02/19/2004		EXAMINER	
STANDLEY LAW GROUP LLP 495 METRO PLACE SOUTH			WILLSE, DAVID H	
SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DUBLIN, O	H 43017		3738	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	54

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	an Na	Applicant(a)	ds		
			•	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		08/947,6			SLEMKER, TRACEY C.		
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communica	Dave Wil		ith the correspondence ac	ddress		
Period for I		aron appears on ar	c dorer ancer w	,a, a,e oon copenaence ac	747 033 44		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communition for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) diriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or reply within the set or extended period for reply will by received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev cation. lays, a reply within the sta ory period will apply and w , by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a tutory minimum of thin vill expire SIX (6) MON plication to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.		
Status							
2a)	esponsive to communication(s) filed on the section is FINAL . 2b) note this application is in condition for osed in accordance with the practice	I⊠ This action is r r allowance except	non-final. I for formal mat	•	e merits is		
Disposition	of Claims						
4a 5)⊠ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) <u>43-48,50-55 and 64-83</u> is/are) Of the above claim(s) <u>43 and 64-78</u> laim(s) <u>81 and 82</u> is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>44-48,50-55,79,80 and 83</u> is/alaim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction	is/are withdrawn	from considera	tion.			
Application	ı Papers						
10) Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the E e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a oplicant may not request that any objection eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the e oath or declaration is objected to b) accepted or by on to the drawing(s) e correction is requi	be held in abeya red if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim for	ocuments have been been been been to be the priority document Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in A ents have been le 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)) f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 08/947,668

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 44-48, 50-55, and 83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere does the original disclosure characterize the sleeve as being "non-porous" (e.g., amended claim 44, line 3). Although the specification states that "[t]he silicone sleeve helps protect the limb and provides a seal between the limb and the socket when the limb is tightly fitted in the socket" (US 5,702,489: column 5, lines 55-58), such is certainly true of some *porous* sleeves, as seen from Fishman et al., US 5,007,937, for example. The very last limitation in claims 44, 50, and 83 is also inaccurate because there are large air pockets in chambers 20 and 34, both of which are within the socket 12 (US 5,702,489: Figure 1; column 4, lines 15-18 and 30-34); said limitation is not the equivalent of that set forth in the original disclosure (US 5,702,489: column 6, lines 12-13).

The Applicant has failed to specifically point out the support in the original disclosure for each of the newly presented claim limitations (MPEP 714.02). Because of the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting the claims, it is noted that prior art may be applicable under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 35 U.S.C. 103(a) once the aforementioned problems under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, are corrected.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 08/947,668

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 79 and 80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Toles, US 980,457 which discloses a prosthetic limb socket 12 and 16; a prosthetic limb assembly 2 and 20; a base 18 including channels 19' and a central duct; an attachment mechanism 21-24 facilitating releasable attachment of the prosthetic limb assembly to an exterior distal end of the prosthetic limb socket (page 2, lines 3-18); and a valve 15. (The socket distal end is *capable* of being released from the prosthetic limb assembly by threadedly disengaging the nut 22 from the screw 20.) Regarding claim 80, there are annular projections at either end of the base 18 (Figure 1; page 1, lines 105-106; etc.).

Claims 81-82 are allowed.

The Applicant's remarks have been considered. The Applicant argues that in the Toles device, "it is unlikely that it would be possible to provide a seal between the deformable sack (12) and the tube (18)" (Paper No. 26: page 22, lines 8-10). In the examiner's opinion, a seal must be inherent since otherwise a partial vacuum would not be formed in the sack (page 1, lines 80-81 and 101-104; page 2, lines 62-67; etc.). As for the limitations pertaining to the exterior distal end of the socket, the Applicant ignores how the grounds of rejection associates elements in the Toles invention with the socket as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is (703) 308-2903. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general

Art Unit: 3738

nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738